

# The future of crash prediction methods What is new in HSM2?

Fabio Sasahara, PhD, PMP

**McTrans Center** 



**UF** 

### Disclaimer

This presentation discusses some novel methods expected to be included in the *Highway Safety Manual 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition*, to be published by AASHTO.

The methodologies presented here and implemented in the Highway Safety Software (HSS2024) reflect the content of published research reports (NCHRP) and are subject to changes before their official publication in the HSM2.

## **About the Highway Safety Manual**



Copyright © McTrans Center 3

#### Overview

### **Development of the Highway Safety Manual 2**



### Background

٠

- 2010 1<sup>st</sup> Edition (AASHTO)
  - 2014 Supplement for freeways (AASHTO)

### HSM 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition – Coming Soon

- 2021 2024 National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Project 17-71 (<u>https://apps.trb.org/cmsfeed/TRBNetProjectDisplay.asp?ProjectID=5043</u>)
- 2024 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition to be published by AASHTO

#### **Overview**

## HSM1 vs HSM2 Structure



HSM2 (Ch.)	HSM1 (Ch.)	Chapter	Chapter 12 – Systemic
		Preface	
1	1	Introduction and Overview to the Highway Safety Manua	<ul> <li>Introduction to the sv</li> </ul>
Part A- Fund	amentals		······································
		Introduction to Part A	
2	3	Road Safety Principles (Previously titled "Fundamentals"	<ul> <li>Incorporating system</li> </ul>
3	2	Human Factors	moorporating by stern
4		Pedestrians and Bicyclists (NEW)	
Part B – Roa	dway Safety	Management Process	management program
		Introduction to Part B	
5		Areawide Approach to Roadway Safety Management	Part D
6	4	Network Screening	
7	5	Diagnosis	
8	6	Countermeasure Selection	<ul> <li>All previous HSM1 ch</li> </ul>
9	7	Economic Appraisal	I
10	8	Project Prioritization	
11	9	Countermeasure Effectiveness Evaluation	New chanters on sele
12		Systemic Approach to Roadway Safety Management	
Part C – Pred	dictive Metho	d	
		Introduction to Part C	• All CMEs will be have
13		General Concepts for Applying the Part C Predictive	• All CIVIES will be hous
14	10	Predictive Method for Rural Two-Lane, Two-Way Roads	
15	11	Predictive Method for Rural Multilane Highways	website (www.cmfclea
16	12	Predictive Method for Urban and Suburban Arterials	,
17	18	Predictive Method for Freeways	
18	19	Predictive Method for Ramps	
Part D – Cras	sh Modificatio	on Factors	
10		Introduction to Part D	
19		Selecting CMFs (NEW)	
20		Applying CMFs (NEW)	
		Glossary (Applicable to all Parts)	

Chapter 4 – Bike & Pedestrian Safety

Chapter 5 – Areawide Approach

### Approach

- stemic safety methods
- nic safety in your roadway safety

- apters removed
  - ecting, creating, and using CMFs
- ed on the CMF Clearinghouse

aringhouse.org)

Source: NCHRP Project 17-71A Update (ACS20 Mid-year meeting 2023)

## **CMF Clearing House**

The Crash Modification Factors Clearinghouse houses a web-based database of CMFs along with supporting documentation to help transportation engineers identify the most appropriate countermeasure for their safety needs



#### Overview

### **Research - NCHRP Projects**



# Part C (Predictive Method) Updates



## **New Pedestrian / Bike CPMs**

- Incorporated new methods from NCHRP 17-84 to predict pedestrian and bicycle crashes
- New models more sensitive to site-specific conditions (exposure and infrastructure):
  - Bike lane configurations
  - Shared-use paths
  - Crossing treatments
  - Signal phasing
  - Area population
  - Land use



### **Urban/Suburban Arterials Segments**

### HSM 1 – Existing models

- Two-lane undivided (2U)
- Three-lane with TWLTL (3T)
- Four-lane undivided (4U)
- Four-lane divided (4D)
- Five-lane with TWLTL (5T)

### HSM 2 – New models

- Six-lane divided (6D)
- Six-lane undivided (6U)
- Seven-lane with TWLTL (7T)
- Eight-lane divided (8D)
- Two-lane One Way (2O)
- Three-lane One Way (3O)
- Four-lane One Way (4O)

## **Urban Segments - CMFs**

Intersection Type	Facility				
	HSM1 arterials	HSM 2 – 6+lanes	es HSM 2 – one-way		
		arterials	arterials		
# Driveways	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
Curbside parking	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
Roadside objects	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
Median barriers / median width	*	*			
Lighting	$\checkmark$				
Automated speed enforcement	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
Posted speed	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
Right shoulder width		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
Lane width		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
Railroad crossings		$\checkmark$			

\* Only applicable for divided roads

## Intersections

### HSM 1 – Existing models

- 3-leg stop control (3ST)
- 4-leg stop control (4ST)
- 3-leg signal control (3SG)
- 4-leg signal control (4SG)

Roundabouts: NCHRP 17-70

New intersections: NCHRP 17-68

Intersections for new urban segments: NCHRP 17-58

### HSM 2 – New models

- Roundabouts (R)
- 3-leg turning (3STT)
- Intersections on high-speed arterials (> 50 mph)
  - Stop control (3ST-HS, 4ST-HS)
  - Signal (3SG-HS, 4SG-HS)
- All-way stop control
  - 3-leg (3aST) 🔮
  - 4-leg (4aST) 🥥
- 5-leg signal (5SG)

### **Urban Intersections**

New crash prediction models for existing urban intersections (signal and stop-control):

- Two-way street intersecting a two-way street (2x2)
- One-way street intersecting a two-way street (1x2)
- One-way street intersecting a one-way street (1x1)
- 6+lane major roads

#### START GENERAL DETAILS REPORT Intersection Urban Model Type Four-leg, Signal (4SG) Facility Type Is Major Street One-Way? ✓ Number of Through Lanes on Major Street 4 $\checkmark$ Is Minor Street One-Way? Number of Through Lanes on Minor Street 2 Method Type One-Way

Implemented in HSS Copyright © McTrans Center 13

## Roundabouts

- Different methods for 1 or 2 circulating lanes
- Geometric inputs:
  - # entering lanes
  - # circulating lanes
  - # exiting lanes
  - Inscribed Circle Diameter (ICD)
  - Circulatory width
  - Entry width
  - Angle to next leg



## Roundabouts

- Traffic inputs (per leg):
  - Entering AADT
  - Circulating AADT
  - Exiting AADT
- Other influencing factors:
  - # luminaires (within 250 ft)
  - # access points (within 250 ft)



Source: Roundabouts: An Informational Guide (FHWA)

### **Urban/Suburban Arterials SPFs (Safety Performance Functions)**

	HSM 1 – Existing models	HSM 2 – New models
Гwo-Lane Segments	$N_{SPF} = AADT \times L \times \frac{365 \times 10^a}{10^a} \times e^b$	$N_{SPF} = L \times e^{b_0} \times AADT^{b_1}$
Multilane Segments	$N_{SPF} = \exp(a + b \times \ln(AADT) + \ln(L))$	$N_{SPF} = \exp(a + b \times \ln(AADT) + \ln(L))$
Jrban Segments	$N_{brmv} = \exp(a + b \times \ln(AADT) + \ln(L))$	$N_{brmv} = \exp(a + b \times \ln(AADT) + \ln(L) + c \times DWYDENS)$
ntersections	$N_{SPF} = \exp(\alpha + \beta_1 \times \ln(AADT_{maj}) + \beta_1 \times \ln(AADT_{min}))$	$N_{SPF} = \exp(b_0 + b_1 \times \ln(AADT_{maj}) + b_2 \times \ln(AADT_{min}))$

## **Single-State Calibration**

Single-state calibration for many of the Part C models was performed in NCHRP Project 17-72



Suggested revised calibration process

### **Freeways & Ramps**

- Method updated to address **directional** segments rather than two-way segments
- No major changes in existing methods



Freeway segmentation procedure (HSM1)

### **Ramp Terminals CPMs**

### HSM 1 – Existing models

- 3-leg terminals with diagonal ramp (D3en/D3ex)
- 4-leg terminals with diagonal ramps (D4)
- 4-leg terminals at four-quadrant Parclo A/B (A4/B4)
- 3-leg terminals at two-quadrant Parclo A/B (A2/B2)



### HSM 2 – New models

All models in HSM1, plus:

- Single-point interchanges (SP)
- Tight diamond interchanges (TD)



## **Highway Safety Software Implementation**

HSM2 methods under implementation for next release



**Crash Modification Factors** 

START GENERAL DETAILS REPORT									
			Intersecti	ion					
Facility Type	y Type Urb			in Model Type			Roundabout (R) ~		
			Intersection I	Inpu	t				
Total Entering AADT	tering AADT 4000			Number of Legs			4 Leg		
lax. Number of Circulating Lanes 1			Inscribed Circle Diameter (ICD), ft				130		
Legs Input									
# of Entering Lanes	# of Circulating Lanes		# of Exiting Lanes	Entry Width, ft Circula		Circulati	ig Width, ft Posted Speed, mi/h		
1 1	1		1		24 30			30	
2 1	1		1		24 30		30		
3 1	1		1		24 30			30	
4 1	1		1		24 30			30	
Entering AADT, veh/day			Circulating AADT, veh/day			Exiting AADT, veh/day			
1 1000			1000			1000			
2 1000			1000 11			1000			
3 1000 1			1000 10			1000			
4 1000 10			000 100			000			
C Leg Details Input									
Outbound Leg associated with a Ramp Terminal		Right-Turn Bypass Lane # of Acce		ccess Points # of Luminair		res	Angle - Next Leg		
1			0	0		0		90.0	
						-			

MFICD (Inscribed Circle Diameter, FI)			0.969						
			1000						
MFoutbd (Outbound-Only Leg, FI)									
			Leg 1		Leg 2			Leg 4	
MFbypass (Right-Turn Bypass Lane, FI)			) 1.000		)00 1.			1.000	
MFap (Access Point Frequency, FI)				1.000		1.000		1.000	
MFap (Access Point Frequency, PDO)			000 1.000		.000 1.0			1.000	
MFew (Entry Width, FI)			0.887 0.88		0.887 0			0.887	
MFew (Entry Width, PDO)			.856 0.8		0.856 0			0.856	
MFcl (Circulating Lane, FI)			) 1.000			1.000		1.000	
MFcl (Circulating Lane, PDO)			0.856		0.856			0.856	
Veighted CMF (FI)			0.222		0.222			0.222	
Veighted CMF (PDO)		0.214		0.214		0.214		0.214	
Predicted Roadway Section Crashes									
Crash Severity	Safety Performance Function				Predicted Crash Frequency				
atal and Injury (FI)	0.107			0.092					
roperty Damage Only (PDO)	0.605			0.518					
otal	0.712			0.610					
conomic Analysis (Predicted Crashes)									
Crash Severity	Per Crash Societal Crash	Predicted Annual Crashes				Total Societal Crash Cost			
atal and Injury (FI)	\$158,200.00		0.092				\$14,559.87		
roperty Damage Only (PDO) \$7,400.00			0.518				\$3,833.04		
otal -			0.610 \$18,392.92						

#### 90.0 90.0 90.0



# **Questions / Thank you!**

## **Acknowledgements**

We express our sincere acknowledgment to all individuals who have made valuable contributions to this material, including many current and former employees of the McTrans Center, University of Florida Transportation Institute (UFTI), and University of Florida. Their dedicated efforts have greatly contributed to the development and quality of this work.



Fabio Sasahara, PhD, PMP McTrans Center Associate Director fsasahara@ufl.edu

## **About Us**



15,000+ worldwide users across 77+ countries



Reliable customer support (average response time under 5 hours)



5,400+ hours taught annually

#### **Our Training Courses**

- <u>Highway Capacity Analysis</u> (12 PDHs)
- <u>Highway Safety Analysis</u> (8 PDHs)
- <u>Traffic Engineering Fundamentals</u> (12 PDHs)
- <u>Transportation Equity (TE) Certification Training</u> (16 PDHs)